Supercharging Proteins Can Impart Unusual Resilience
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We speculated that the relationship between net charge and aggregation resistance might also be applicable to globular proteins, which can aggregate via partial unfolding induced by thermal agitation, chemical treatment, or conformational breathing. Recent evidence that some proteins can tolerate significant changes in net charge (for example, the finding that carbonic anhydrase retains activity after exhaustive acetylation of its surface lysines11) encouraged us to test the hypothesis that the solubility and aggregation resistance of some proteins might be significantly enhanced, without abolishing their folding or function, by extensively mutating their surfaces to dramatically increase their net charge, a process we refer to as "supercharging".

We began with green fluorescent protein (GFP), an easily assayed protein that undergoes chromophore maturation and becomes fluorescent only when folded correctly. To minimize the possibility that our GFP was unusually delicate and therefore unusually easy to improve, we used a starting GFP (stGFP) based on the state-of-the-art GFP variant called "superfolder", which has been highly optimized for folding robustness and resistance to denaturants.12 The net charge of the stGFP is −7, similar to that of wild-type GFP (−8). To create a superpositive variant of GFP, we identified 29 positions in the crystal structure that were highly solvent-exposed and mutated these to positively charged amino acids (Lys and Arg), yielding a design with a theoretical net charge of +36 (Figure 1 and Supporting Information). Genes encoding stGFP and GFP(+36) were constructed and expressed in E. coli, and both genes yielded intensely green fluorescent bacteria. Following protein purification, the fluorescence properties of GFP(+36) were measured and found to be very similar to those of stGFP (Supporting Information).

Encouraged by this finding, we produced and characterized additional supercharged GFPs having net charges of +48, −25, and −30, all of which were also found to have stGFP-like fluorescence (Figures 2a and S1). All supercharged GFP variants exhibited circular dichroism spectra similar to that of stGFP, indicating that the proteins have similar secondary structure content (Figure 2b). The thermodynamic stabilities of the supercharged GFP variants were only modestly lower than that of stGFP (1.0−4.1 kcal/mol, Figure 2c and Table S1) despite the presence of as many as 36 mutations.

We next examined the effect of supercharging on aggregation resistance. Although stGFP is the product of a long history of GFP optimization,13 like most proteins it can be induced to aggregate by thermal or chemical unfolding. Heating stGFP to 100 °C induced its quantitative precipitation and the irreversible loss of fluorescence (Figures 3a and S2). In contrast, supercharged GFP(+36) and GFP(−30) remained soluble when heated to 100 °C and recovered significant fluorescence (62 and 28% of their initial fluorescence, respectively) upon cooling (Figure 3a). When mixed with 40% TFE, an additive commonly used to examine chemically induced protein aggregation, stGFP lost all fluorescence and began to visibly aggregate (Figures 3b and S3). Within half an hour at 25 °C, aggregation of stGFP was complete as followed by right-angle light scattering. The +36 and −30 supercharged GFP variants also...
became completely nonfluorescent when exposed to 40% TFE; however, in contrast to stGFP, they suffered no significant aggregation under the same conditions even after several hours (Figure 3b). Taken together, the results of these studies indicate that supercharged GFPs, upon thermal or chemical denaturation, remain entirely soluble.

In addition to this aggregation resistance, supercharged GFP variants show a strong, reversible avidity for highly charged macromolecules of the opposite charge (Figures 3c and S4). When mixed together in 1:1 stoichiometry, GFP(+/36) and GFP(−30) immediately formed a green fluorescent co-precipitate, indicating the association of folded, functional proteins. GFP(+/36) similarly co-precipitated with high concentrations of RNA or DNA. The addition of NaCl was sufficient to dissolve these complexes, consistent with the electrostatic basis of their formation. In contrast, stGFP was unaffected by the addition of GFP(−30), RNA, or DNA (Figure 3c).

Because the robust folding of superfolder GFP together with its monomeric nature may have contributed to the ability of stGFP to be supercharged, we next sought to determine whether the supercharging principle could apply to proteins other than GFP, including non-monomeric proteins with binding or catalytic activities. To this end, we applied the supercharging process to two proteins unrelated to GFP.

Streptavidin is a tetramer with a total net charge of −4. Using an entirely automated version of our mutagenesis strategy (Supporting Information), we designed two supercharged streptavidin variants with theoretical net charges of −40 or +52 and expressed and purified the proteins (Figure S5). Both were capable of forming tetramers, as judged by analytical size-exclusion chromatography (Figure S10), and both had significant, albeit greatly reduced, biotin-binding capacity (Figure S11). Like the supercharged GFPs, the supercharged streptavidins were resistant to thermally induced aggregation under the same conditions even after several hours (Figure 3b). Taken together, the results of these studies indicate that supercharged GST remained dimeric and retained catalytic activity; and the supercharged streptavidins retained some biotin affinity and their propensity to form tetramers. Supercharging therefore may represent a useful approach for reducing the aggregation tendency and improving the solubility of some proteins without abolishing their function.

In summary, we have demonstrated that monomeric and multi-mem proteins of varying structures and functions can be “supercharged” by simply replacing their most solvent-exposed residues with like-charged amino acids. Supercharging greatly alters the intermolecular properties of proteins, imparting aggregation resistance and the ability to associate in folded form with oppositely charged macromolecules like “molecular Velcro” (Figure 3c). We note that these unusual intermolecular properties arise from high net charge, rather than from the total number of charged amino acids, which was not significantly changed by the supercharging process (Table S1).

In contrast to the substantial intermolecular effects we observed, the intramolecular properties of the seven supercharged proteins studied here were largely intact: specifically, the supercharged GFPs retained the ability to assume a fluorescent native-like state; the supercharged GST remained dimeric and retained catalytic activity; and the supercharged streptavidins retained some biotin affinity and their propensity to form tetramers. Supercharging therefore may represent a useful approach for reducing the aggregation tendency and improving the solubility of some proteins without abolishing their function.

The high charge density on the surface of the supercharged proteins is likely to perturb the $pK_a$ values of some of the charged residues, potentially lowering the actual magnitude of net charge. We found some evidence for this effect: for example, GST(−40), with a predicted $pI$ of 4.8, migrated as a protein with a $pI$ of 5.0 on an isoelectric focusing (IEF) gel (Figure S6); however, GST(+36), with a predicted $pI$ of 10.4, indeed failed to migrate into an IEF gel with a nominal $pI$ range of 3–10. Further, not all supercharged proteins are readily accessible. An early design for a superpositive GFP did not yield fluorescent protein, and a gene encoding a superpositive GST (Supporting Information) failed to express in E. coli.

Protein supercharging illustrates the plasticity of protein surfaces and highlights the opportunities that arise from the mutational tolerance of solvent-exposed residues. For example, it was recently shown that the thermodynamic stability of some proteins can be enhanced by rationally engineering charge–charge interactions, and that introducing a positively charged patch of amino acids onto the side of GFP endowed the protein with cell permeability. Protein supercharging demonstrates how this plasticity can be exploited in a different way to impart robust resistance to protein aggregation. Our findings are consistent with the results of a complementary study in which removal of all charges from ubiquitin did not prevent folding but significantly impaired its solubility.

The principles revealed here may be particularly useful in de novo protein design efforts, where unpredictable protein handling...
properties including aggregation remain a significant challenge. In light of the above results of supercharging natural proteins, it is tempting to speculate that the aggregation resistance of designed proteins could also be improved by biasing the design process to increase the frequency of like-charged amino acids at positions predicted to lie on the outside of the folded protein.

These observations may also illuminate the modest net-charge distribution of natural proteins. The net charge of 84% of Protein Data Bank (PDB) polypeptides, for example, falls within ±10. Our results argue against the hypothesis that high net charge creates sufficient electrostatic repulsion to force unfolding. Indeed, GFP(+48) has a higher positive net charge than any polypeptide currently in the PDB, yet retains the ability to fold and fluoresce. Supercharged proteins have a charge distribution reminiscent of micelles, which are stabilized by colloidal forces that are able to overcome charge—charge repulsion. Instead, our findings suggest that nonspecific intermolecular adhesions may have disfavored the evolution of too many highly charged natural proteins. Consistent with this hypothesis, almost all natural proteins with very high net charge, such as ribosomal proteins L3 (+36) and L15 (+44), which bind RNA, or cal-sequestrin (−80), which binds calcium cations, associate with oppositely charged species as part of their essential cellular functions.
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